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1 Introduction

In scalable multiprocessor systems, high performance demands that computational load be balanced evenly among processors and that interprocessor communication be limited as much as possible. Compilation techniques for achieving these goals have been explored extensively in recent years, a good review of this literature appears in [7]. This research has produced a variety of useful techniques, but it has typically assumed that the programmer specifies the distribution of large data structures among processor memories. Several projects have attempted to automatically derive data distributions for regular problems, (e.g. [9, 8, 1,]). In this paper, we study the more challenging problem of automatically choosing data distributions for irregular problems. This work is closely related to schemes we proposed in [11] and shares important features with the distributed memory runtime parallelization schemes proposed in [10].

By irregular problems, we mean programs where the data access pattern cannot be determined during compilation. For example, the loop

```c
    do i = 1, nnode
      n1 = nde(i,1)
      n2 = nde(i,2)
      flux = f(x(n1),x(n2))
      y(n1) = y(n1) + flux
      y(n2) = y(n2) - flux
    enddo
```

sweeps over the edges of an unstructured mesh. This is a simplified version of a type of loop that commonly occurs in unstructured mesh computational fluid dynamics algorithms. The array `nde` is assigned at execution time, thus severely limiting the compiler analysis that is possible. Efficiently executing this loop requires partitioning the data and computation to balance the work load and minimize communication. As the information necessary to evaluate communication (i.e. the contents of `nde`) is not available until runtime, this partitioning must be done on the fly. Thus, we focus on runtime mappings in this paper.

Several general heuristics have been proposed to efficiently map irregular scientific problems onto distributed memory multicomputers, some of these are described in [2, 5, 6, 14]. The codes that implement these mapping heuristics typically must be manually coupled to application programs. In this paper we describe a method by which data arrays can be automatically mapped at runtime. The mapping is based on the computational patterns in one or more user specified loops. A distributed memory compiler generates code that, at runtime, generates a distributed data structure to represent the computational pattern of the chosen loop. This computational pattern is used to determine how data arrays are to be partitioned. The compiler generates code to pass the distributed data structure to a partitioner. The work described here is being pursued in the context of the CRPC Fortran D project [7].

2 Compiler Embedded Runtime Mapping

In the scheme we present, a user labels a loop that is used to determine how a set of arrays are to be partitioned. The user also designates specific distributed arrays on which attention should be focused. The compiler generates code that, at runtime, produces a distributed data structure called the Runtime Dependence Graph or RDG. The RDG represents the loop's computational pattern. The compiler also generates code that passes the RDG to a parallelized partitioner. This partitioner uses the RDG to determine how to partition data. We limit ourselves to
2.2 Compiler-linked Mapping: Runtime Support

In this section we outline the primitives employed to carry out compiler-linked data and loop iteration partitioning.

We begin with a preprocessing phase where we have an initial distribution of loop iterations. The object of this preprocessing is to extract information needed for mapping. In many cases, the initial distribution of loop iterations, $I_{init}$, will be a simple default distribution. In some situations (e.g., adaptive codes), preprocessing to support irregular array mappings may have already been carried out. Our runtime support will handle either regular or irregular initial loop iteration distributions $I_{init}$.

The preprocessing is carried out using the following mapper coupling procedures. Procedure `eliminate_dup_edges` uses a hash table to store unique dataflow edges, along with a count of the number of times each edge has been encountered. We define the local loop RDG as the restriction of the loop RDG to a single processor. The local loop RDG includes only distributed array elements associated with $I_{init}$. Once all dataflow edges in a loop have been recorded, `edges_to_RDG` generates the local loop RDG and then merges all local loop RDG graphs to form the loop RDG. The data structures that describe the loop RDG graph are passed to a data partitioner `RDG_partitioner`. `RDG_partitioner` returns a pointer to a distributed translation table [13], [4] that describes the new mapping. Note that `RDG_partitioner` can use any heuristic to partition the data, the only constraint is that the partitioners have the correct calling sequence. Once the partitioner identifies an efficient mapping the data can be remapped by using the procedure `remap`. Procedure `remap` is passed a pointer to the distribution translation table of the old data distribution and a pointer to the distribution translation table of the current data distribution. Remap returns a pointer to a data structure which stores the communication pattern that can be used to remap the data [4].

The partitioning of loop iterations is supported by two primitives, `deref_rig` and `iter_partition`. The RIG is generated by code transformed by a compiler. The primitive `deref_rig` takes the RIG as input. This primitive accesses distributed translation tables to find the processor assignments associated with each distributed array reference. `deref_rig` returns the RIG. The RIG is partitioned using the iteration partitioning procedure, `iter_partition`.

2.1 The Runtime Iteration Graph

We first partition distributed arrays and then, based on distributed array partitionings, partition loop iterations. In order to partition loop iterations based on a given data partition, we generate a distributed data structure we call the *runtime iteration graph* or RIG. The RIG associates with each loop iteration $i$, all indices of each distributed array accessed during iteration $i$. A RIG can be generated for every loop that references at least one irregularly distributed array. The *runtime iteration processor assignment graph*, or RIPA, is derived from the RIG. The RIPA lists, for each loop iteration, the number of distinct references to data stored on each processor.

Just as there are many possible strategies that can be used to partition data, there are also many strategies that could be used to partition loop iterations. We currently employ strategies that consult the RIPA to assign each loop iteration $i$ to the processor which stores the largest percentage of the data accessed by iteration $i$. 

array partitioning based on loops in which all designated distributed arrays conform in size and are to be identically distributed.

We generate an RDG using references to designated arrays in each statement of a labelled program loop. The RDG is constructed by adding *dataflow edge* $< i, j >$ between nodes $i$ and $j$ either when

- a reference to array index $i$ appears on the left side of a statement and a reference to $j$ appears on the right side, or
- a reference to array index $j$ appears on the left side of a statement and a reference to $i$ appears on the right side.

Each time dataflow edge $< i, j >$ is encountered, we increment a counter associated with $< i, j >$. Accumulation type output dependency dataflow edges of type $< i, i >$ are ignored in the graph generation process as the presence of such dependencies do not induce inter-processor communication. The RDG is currently represented by a distributed data structure [4], this data structure is closely related to Saad's Compressed Sparse Row (CSR) format (see [12]).

Once we have partitioned data, we must partition computational work. If we use the "owner computes" convention, it is clear how work must be partitioned. Otherwise, as we will describe below, we must partition each loop's iterations.
2.3 Compiler-linked Mapping: Compiler Support

In Fortran D, a user declares a template called a distribution that is used to characterize the significant attributes of a distributed array. The distribution fixes the size, dimension and way in which the array is to be partitioned between processors. A distribution is produced using two declarations. The first declaration is decomposition. Decomposition fixes the name, dimensionality and size of the distributed array template. The second declaration is distribute. Distribute is an executable statement and specifies how a template is to be mapped onto processors. Fortran D provides the user with a choice of several regular distributions. In addition, a user can explicitly specify how a distribution is to be mapped onto processors. A specific array is associated with a distribution using the Fortran D statement align.

In [3] we present new Fortran D syntax which implicitly specifies processor mapping in a distribute statement by referring to a labelled loop and to a choice of partitioner. The current Fortran D syntax allows the user to specify whether the "owner computes" rule is to be employed or whether all work pertaining to each loop iteration is to be assigned to a single processor. [7]. We are also developing new syntax that will make it possible for a user to specify what method is to be used to partition loop iterations.

The primitives described in Section 2.2 have been implemented and have been employed in a 3-D unstructured mesh Euler solver. The performance of the primitives for the Euler solver is shown in table 1. The cost of generating the RDG is small compared to either the overall cost of computation or the cost of our parallelized partitioner. For our mapper, we employed a parallelized version of Simon's eigenvalue partitioner [14]. We partitioned the RDG into a number of subgraphs equal to the number of processors employed. The cost of the partitioner was relatively high both because of the partitioner's high operation count and because only a modest effort was made to produce an efficient parallel implementation. The time required to generate and partition loop iterations (using deref, rig and iter_partition from Section2.2) is approximately half of the cost of a single iteration of the 3-D unstructured Euler code.

3 Conclusions

We have described how to design distributed memory compilers capable of carrying out dynamic work- load and data partitioning. The runtime support required for these methods has been implemented in the form of PARTI primitives. We implemented a full unstructured mesh computational fluid dynamics code and a conjugate gradient code by embedding our runtime support by hand and have presented our performance results. Our performance results demonstrate that the costs incurred by the mapper coupling primitives are roughly on the order of the cost of a single iteration of our unstructured mesh code and were small compared to the cost of the partitioner.
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Table 1: Mapper Coupler Timings for Unstructured Euler Solver (iPSC/860)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Vertices</th>
<th>Number of Processors</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>64</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>graph generation</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mapper</td>
<td>15.92</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iter partitioner</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>comp/iter</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4K</td>
<td>graph generation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mapper</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70.96</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iter partitioner</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>comp/iter</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54K</td>
<td>graph generation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mapper</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>544.81</td>
<td>673.14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iter partitioner</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>comp/iter</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


